UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,286	07/31/2003	Carl R. Strathmeyer	P16477/1020P16477	5085
57035 KACVINSKY I	7590 03/10/200 LLC	9	EXAMINER	
C/O INTELLEY	·	WONG, XAVIER S		
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/632,286	STRATHMEYER, CARL R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Xavier Szewai Wong	2416			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adaptent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4 th L	December 2008				
•		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
	on Papers	·				
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-26 are pending

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 9, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sassin et al (US 6449260 B1, "Sassin").

Claims 1, 16 and 19: Sassin shows a system, comprising computer-readable storage medium including stored computer program instructions, performing a method, when executed by a computer result in the computer managing information (fig. 1), comprising:

receiving a first request for caller information at a web server during a call session initiated in response to a telephone call from a caller (col. 3 lines 44-47);

retrieving call information associated with said call session at said web server (col. 3 lines 33-38);

retrieving said caller information at said web server directly from a caller database using said call information, said caller information comprising an interactive voice response script *or* a web page template associated with said caller (col. 3 lines 20-24 & 44-50; col. 8 lines 35-41);

retrieving call context information from a computer-telephony integration server that has registered said telephone call (col. 8 lines 12-18);

generating a dynamic web page at said web server from a plurality of web page templates using said caller information and said call context information, said plurality of web page

Application/Control Number: 10/632,286 Page 3

Art Unit: 2416

templates selected at said web server by a selection module (col. 8 lines 35-47); and sending said web page in response to said first request (col. 8 lines 38 & 44).

Claims 2, 13 and 24, applied to claims 1, 2 and 23: Sassin discloses retrieving the first request comprises a first identifier (col. 3 lines 39-47; requests ↔ e-mail address, call back number); sending a second request to a call database using the first identifier (col. 3 lines 51-55 & col. 4 lines 60-63); receiving the call information in response to the second request (col. 4 lines 63-65).

Claims 3 and 5, applied to claims 2 and 4: Sassin further shows the first identifier identifies a telephone line for the call session (col. 7 lines 20-31); and the second identifier represents information provided by a caller and stored in a caller database (col. 8 lines 26-30).

Claim 9, applied to claim 1: Sassin further mentions the dynamic web page is a script for an IVR system (col. 3 lines 15-24; col. 10 lines 27-38).

Claim **21**, applied to claim **20**: Sassin further mentions the media server comprises IVR system (col. 2 lines 11-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 – 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burger et al (US 7149287 B1, "Burger") in view of Fraser (US 2004/0047453 A1) and in further view of Khouri et al (US 2003/0069937 A1, "Khouri").

Application/Control Number: 10/632,286

Art Unit: 2416

Claims 1, 16, 18 and 19: Burger shows a system, comprising computer-readable storage medium including stored computer program instructions that when executed by a computer result in the computer managing information (fig. 1), comprising:

Page 4

a communications web interface at a web server to receive a first request for caller information during a call session initiated in response to a telephone call from a caller (col. 6 lines 38-47; col. 7 lines 5-17; HTTP GET request to voice application server *14*) or (col. 9 lines 55-59; SIP INVITE which comprises of a "from" calling number);

retrieve call information associated with the call session at the web server (col. 7 lines 61-66; application server 86 = voice application server 14);

a script generator to retrieve the caller information comprising an interactive voice response (IVR) script or a web page template associated with the caller (col. 7 lines 20-23; col. 5 lines 16-18; VoiceXML).

Burger do not very *expressively* show:

retrieving call information *directly* from a caller database using the call information; retrieving call context information from a *computer-telephony integration* (CTI) server that has registered the telephone call; generating a web page *by a web page generator* from a *plurality of web page templates* using the caller information and the *call context information* wherein the plurality of web page templates selected at the web page generator by a *selection module*; and sending the web page in response to the first request.

Fraser shows retrieving call information *directly* from a caller database using call information ([0022] lines 13-18; fig. 1: IVR server 4 & host server 1 *directly* retrieving scripts from databases 2 & 3 based on user requirements, which is interpreted as call information); generating a

dynamic web page *by a web page generator* from a *plurality of web page* templates using the caller information wherein the plurality of web page templates selected at the web page generator by a selection module ([0022] lines 19-29; [0024] lines 1-6; [0026] lines 1-3 & line 13 to [0027]; select from plurality of VPP script (templates) in database). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the web and IVR server(s) structure of Burger to have direct access to voice templates based on call (or user) information from a directly connected database wherein plural web templates are stored to generate a webpage based on the call (or user) information as taught by Fraser to allow a caller or user to control interaction they have with an automated response system and to select and identify information requested ([0004]).

However, retrieving of *call context* information from a *computer-telephony integration* (CTI) server that has registered the telephone call and using the *call context information* as a criterion for webpage selection and generation are not very *clearly* mentioned by Burger or Fraser.

Khouri discloses in figure 3 a CTI server 56 connected to a telephony and web servers (54 & 64) wherein the telephony server generates a web page containing a caller phone number and other information about the identified caller ([0036] lines 1-6); wherein the web page is controlled (or interpreted as selected) by the CTI server ([0037] lines 1-6), in other words, the CTI server must have sent some sort of call context information to the telephony/web server in order to control or select the web pages to be shown based on the identified (registered) caller. It would have been obvious to implement a CTI server of Khouri to connect with the web server of Burger, modified

Application/Control Number: 10/632,286

Page 6

Art Unit: 2416

by Fraser, to help select web pages based on call context information and allow easier connection for a user to a certain web site ([0005]).

Claim 23 is rejected in the same grounds as claims 1, 16 and 19 except for an antenna at the web server to retrieve call information. The examiner takes official notice that modifying the web server of Burger, modified by Fraser and Khouri, into a radio or wireless web server, which *must* comprise of an *antenna*, would have been an obvious improvement that could have been made at the time the invention was created to allow *remote* interactive voice response devices to send and receive web pages to and from *remote* computer systems conveniently.

Claims **2**, **13** and **24**, applied to claims **1**, **2** and **23**: Burger, modified by Fraser and Khouri, disclose retrieving the first request (e.g. SIP INVITE) comprises a first identifier (col. 7 lines 5-12; [94] SIP INVITE which comprises a dialing number in fig. 8); sending a second request (e.g. [96] SIP INVITE) to a call database (e.g. in media server 88) using the first identifier (col. 7 lines 12-14); receiving the call information in response to the second request (col. 7 lines 14-24).

Claims 3 and 5, applied to claims 2 and 4: Burger, modified by Fraser and Khouri, further shows the first identifier (from [94] SIP INVITE) identifies a telephone line for the call session (col. 7 lines 5-9; fig. 8: [90] dialing number → [92] routing request → [94] SIP INVITE); and the second identifier represents information provided by a caller and stored in a caller database (col. 7 lines 51-57; PIN stored in media server).

Claims 4, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15 and 25, applied to claims 1, 4, 6 and 23: Burger, modified by Fraser and Khouri, further disclose retrieving a second identifier (e.g. PIN and client "account" identification) from the first request (col. 7 lines 51-57); sending a third request to the caller

database (e.g. in media server) and receiving caller information in response to the third request (fig. 8: [112]).

Claim **9**, applied to claim **1**: Burger, modified by Fraser and Khouri, further mentions the dynamic web page is a script for an IVR system (col. 5 lines 16-18; col. 10 lines 9-13; fig. 10: App server dynamically generates VXML script).

Claim **10**, applied to claim **1**: Fraser further discloses receiving telephone call to initiate call session ([0045] lines 3-5 & 9-11); registering the telephone call with a call database ([0045] lines 16-24 & [0047] lines 1-5); receiving a request from a caller for caller information ([0047] lines 5-13); and sending first request to the web server for the caller information ([0047] lines 17-22: controller/host server *I*).

Claims 11 and 12, applied to claim 10: see claim 20 rejection.

Claim 20, applied to claim 19: Burger, modified by Khouri, discloses a media server to reproduce the web page to a caller in an audible form (col. 5 lines 48-55) and a telephone system to create the call session between the caller and media server (col. 7 lines 43-46 & 61-64). Yet, a call database to store call information for the call session and retrieving (at said communications web services interface) information from *both* the call database and said caller database during the call session and sending the information to the web page generator have not been very *expressively* mentioned. Fraser shows a VPP user database (fig. 1: database 3 as a call database) for storing call information during a call session ([0028] lines 1-11: user telephone connected to IVR server and retrieve user info from database 3) and using the call information from database 3 to retrieve caller information from database 2 scripts store to generate the caller web page ([0028] lines 11-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was created to add a call database to store and send call information as shown by Fraser in addition to said caller database of Burger, modified by Khouri, to generate specific script in dependence on recorded data in which a plurality of scripts are stored ([0005]).

Claim **21**, applied to claim **20**: Burger, modified by Fraser and Khouri, further mentions the media server comprises IVR system (col. 5 lines 16-22 & col. 7 lines 20-24).

Claim **22**, applied to claim **20**: Khouri further mentions the telephone system comprises of a PBX or ACD [0017].

Claim **26**, applied to claim **23**: Burger, modified by Fraser and Khouri, further disclose retrieving a second identifier (e.g. PIN) from the first request (col. 7 lines 61-66), sending a second request to the caller database using the second identifier (col. 7 lines 66 – col. 8 lines 1-5; check), and receiving the caller information in response to the second request (col. 8 lines 12-17; establish call with client code).

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed on 4th December 2008 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that **Sassin** does not teach "receiving a first request for caller information at a web server during a call session *initiated in response to a telephone call* from a caller" (remarks, pg. 11). **Sassin** mentions in, specifically, column 3 lines 44-47 "callers may access the call distribution system through the internet. Web based inquiries ... are received by a web server" and, lines 47-50 states "Requests for call-back received on the World Wide Web are supplied ... call the customer back." It is interpreted that a telephone caller makes a call through web-based means (e.g. telephone 78 or computer 90 caller makes the call in fig. 1) and the call

invokes inquiries and call-back requests about the caller (e.g. col. 3 lines 56-59: calls originated from PSTN telephone or remote computer). Further, it is well-known in the art of communication that telephone caller's call can be *web-based*, which means a computer can act as a telephone and place calls through the internet, and can invoke a callee to request for the telephone caller's identification. **See also: **Sassin** et al (US 6249576 B1): web-based telephone directory with call completion capability includes receiving a search request from computer (callee), a server responds to the search request and transmits the results of the search to the computer (col. 7 line 63 – col. 8 line 5).

Applicant further argue that neither **Burger**, **Fraser** nor **Khouri** teach "generating a dynamic web page at said web server from a plurality of web page templates using said caller information and said caller context information, said plurality of web pages templates selected at said web server by a selection module" (remarks, pg. 12). **Fraser** teaches "generating a dynamic web page at said web server from a plurality of web page templates using said caller information, said plurality of web pages templates selected at said web server by a selection module" in paragraph 0022, specifically, in lines 16-21 wherein **Fraser** states "... controller presents customisation pages to users on demand ... updates and writes VPP scripts (e.g. templates) and *dynamically generates web pages* containing individual information" and in paragraph 0024, specifically, in lines 1-5 & 7-9, **Fraser** further states "*IVR server* hosts the voice portal service and has a *communications interface* which enables the IVR server to communicate with the scripts store ... instructions for implementing a user's <u>customized</u> interaction." It is interpreted that the IVR server, through the communications interface, selects a customized script (from a plurality of scripts) for the user, and, read on as "plurality of web pages templates selected at said

Application/Control Number: 10/632,286 Page 10

Art Unit: 2416

web server by a selection module." **Khouri** is brought in to explicitly emphasize on the "call context information from a CTI server as a criterion for webpage selection (office action 09.04.2008, pg. 6)" which is taught in paragraph 0036, specifically, in lines 1-3, wherein **Khouri** states "telephony server (CTI server) generates a web page containing the caller's telephone number and other information (context) about the caller."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. **Ryan** et al, US 2004/0215793 A1: a system providing automated dialing via a Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) through a web-based function allowing the user to request the telephone network to make a call to two or more entities involved in a predetermined activity and connect them via a bridge in the networking the network. Automation through Internet telephony would allow the user to directly initiate an Internet telephony call to the desired parties
- 2. **Jawahar** et al, US 6981256 B2: a method includes the step of establishing a collaboration session between a first client and a second client; wherein a requested resource is cached with the session host in response to a request having a first uniform resource locator (URL) issued by the first client, if the requested resource is a pre-determined type of resource, wherein the pre-determined characteristic of the requested web page corresponds to at least one from a group including a dynamically-generated web-page, an expiration date of the requested web page being a future date, and the requested web page being associated with a filename having a specified characteristic

Application/Control Number: 10/632,286 Page 11

Art Unit: 2416

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xavier Wong whose telephone number is 571.270.1780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am - 6:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571.272.3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800.786.9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571.272.1000.

/Xavier Szewai Wong/ x.s.w

3rd March 2009

/Kevin C. Harper/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416